

Planning Africa 2016

Conference Paper 4 July 2016 Parallel session 1.2

Are We Achieving Spatial Transformation In South Africa? Can Sub-City Spatial Indicators Make a Contribution?



1. Realities of cities

3

• South Africa experiencing rapid urbanisation



- In 2030 71% of population will live in urban areas (UN)
- Need to make sure cites are liveable, inclusive, sustainable and resilient

1. Realities of cities



Source: (Cole & De Blij, 2007), see paper.

2. Need to transform cities

- Also a need to spatially transform cities Since 1994 spatial transformation has been a priority – various policies
- "Still remain amongst the most inefficient urban environments in the world" (Du Plessis and Landman, 2002)
- Cities need to track growth and trends...to respond and guide (often use own data = makes comparison difficult)
- Need to explore and track place specific progress to measure integration, transformation, densification (Turok, 2015)
- Challenge to measure changes = indicators relevant and trackable



3. Tracking indicators

- SA unique experience in tracking and directing spatial change NOT comparable to other countries (Harrison & Todes, 2015)
- What do we need? = relevant **spatial specific indicators**
- Issue of tracking not new:
 - Cities are obliged to report outcome indicators
 - Treasury City Support Programme
 - DPME, SALGA
- Urban indicators project 2013 (SACN)



4. Developing indicators

Challenges:

- Unavailability/ incompleteness of data
- Collecting data from source
- Methodological changes
- Spatial unit size variation, changes in boundaries between periods, scalability and non-alignment of units, generalisation error
- Scale: aggregation of data, reporting unit large not reflecting fine grain
- Pattern detection requires scale to be sufficiently fine



4. Developing indicators

- Relevant and aimed at desired outcome and comply with criteria
 - Appropriateness/ Relevance
 - Specific
 - Verifiable
 - Statistical soundness
 - Repeatable
 - Cost effective (proxy)
 - Comparable (standardisation)
- Confirm to SDI good practice

Standard Assessment Procedure
Accreditation by the South African Statistical Quality Assessment Framework - SASQAF



5. Project - SACN



SOCR 2016 DATA ALMANAC

Introducing SCODA – The State of Cities Open Data Almanac

Population change: 1996 – 2011 (using sub-place)





Population – 2011 (using uniform fine zones)



our future through science

11







Economic production – 2011 (using uniform fine zones)





People per Ha 1996 vs 2011 (linear transect)



GVA change: 1996 – 2011 (interpolation from uniform fine zones – 'heat map')





7. Reflect / Conclude:

- Need to track, monitor and evaluate set to continue, even increase
- **Spatial-temporal aligned sub-city indicators** important as baseline
- Indicator built on a finer spatial granularity more useful to grasp spatial realities
- **Rely on proxy information** kept up to date also
- Enables other forms of analysis
- Some **items not present** example informal economy
- Merit in collaboration and in involving users to ensure appropriateness





Presentation on Tuesday 5 July, 2016 Parallel Session: 4.5 (16h00 - 17h30), Committee Room-5 (Level 4)

Spatial change as drivers of risk and vulnerability in South Africa: Spatial trends in the 3 metropolitan cities of Gauteng.

Presented by Amy Pieterse





Planning Africa 2016

Conference Paper 4 July 2016 Parallel session 1.2

Thank you

Please also see:

http://stepsa.org

http://www.socr.co.za/

http://gap.csir.co.za/gap/about-gap-1

Author(s): Johan Maritz* Elsona Van Huyssteen Alize Le Roux Amy Pieterse Dumisani Ndaba Gerbrand Mans Mawande Ngidi

Council for Scientific and Industrial Research PO Box 359, Pretoria, 0001, South Africa Email: jmaritz@csir.co.za * Tel: +27 – 012 841 2928 / Fax: +27 – 012 841 4036



